



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,083	05/10/2001	Kenji Uchiyama	9319S-000204	5266
27572 7.	590 01/15/2003			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	·
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m	
NJI	
ddress	
LLOWANCE. reply to a plication in for Continued	
never is later. In no	
N. See MPEP	
riate extension fee extension fee under ; or (2) as set forth in led, may reduce any	
1	
v);	
or simplifying the	
laims.	
	,
îled amendment	
NOT place the	
were newly	

		_ ~~	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/853,083	UCHIYAMA, KENJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy L Rude	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence as

THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALT Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the applicant in the places of the

	tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under to 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the final Office action; or (4) as set forth in the final Office action; or (5) as set forth in the final Office action; or (6) as set forth in the final Office action; or (6) as set forth in the final Office action; or (6) as set forth in the final Office action; or (6) as set forth in the final Office action; or (7) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office action; or (8) as set forth in the final Office a
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
.2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(C	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	SINCE

\*Continuati n Sh t (PTO-303) 09/853,083

L

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment does not overcome the cited but not yet applied reference of Ishikawa et al USPAT 5,258,866; therefore, entry of said amendment would not put the Application in condition for allowance. The proposed amendment raises new issues, e.g., "the mount base member having a linear thermal expansion coefficient that is larger than a linear thermal expansion coefficient of the substrate", that will require consideration in view of Ishikawa.

2